

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/997,160	11/29/2001	Sumio Kuroda	1100.66020	3617
	7590 08/16/2004		EXAMINER	
Patrick G. Burns GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.			HECKENBERG JR, DONALD H	
300 South Wacker Dr., Suite 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 6	0606		1722 DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	R				
Advisory Action	09/997,160	KURODA, SUMIO	7				
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Donald Heckenberg	1722					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 30 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
 a)							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid 	dered but does NOT p	lace the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	nuse it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were n	ewly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided below	will be entered and vor appended.	an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-19</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-16</u> .							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The examined claims have not previously recited that the information pattern is formed by the mold pressing on the glass layer. Therefore this limitation presents a new issue which would require further search and/or consideration. Applicant's arguments filed with the response have been considered, but are deemed moot as they are directed to the amendment which will not be

> ROBERT DAVIS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300 / 700